October 6,	2000	
Ford	Lofgren	Rush
Fossella	Lowey	Ryan (WI)
Frank (MA)	Lucas (KY)	Ryun (KS)
Frelinghuysen Frost	Lucas (OK) Luther	Sabo Salmon
Gallegly	Maloney (CT)	Sanchez
Ganske	Maloney (NY)	Sanders
Gejdenson	Manzullo	Sandlin
Gekas	Markey	Sawyer
Gephardt	Martinez	Saxton
Gibbons	Mascara	Scarborough
Gilchrest	Matsui	Schaffer
Gillmor	McCarthy (MO)	Schakowsky
Gilman	McCarthy (NY)	Scott
Gonzalez Goode	McCrery McDermott	Sensenbrenner
Goodlatte	McGovern	Serrano
Gordon	McHugh	Sessions Shadegg
Graham	McInnis	Shaw
Granger	McIntyre	Shays
Green (TX)	McKeon	Sherman
Green (WI)	McKinney	Sherwood
Greenwood	McNulty	Shimkus
Gutierrez Gutknecht	Meehan	Shows
Hall (OH)	Menendez Millender-	Simpson
Hall (TX)	McDonald	Sisisky
Hastert	Miller, Gary	Skeen
Hastings (FL)	Miller, George	Skelton
Hastings (WA)	Minge	Slaughter Smith (MI)
Hayes	Mink	Smith (NJ)
Hayworth	Moakley	Smith (WA)
Herger	Mollohan	Snyder
Hill (IN)	Moore	Souder
Hill (MT) Hilleary	Moran (KS) Moran (VA)	Spratt
Hilliard	Morella	Stabenow
Hinchey	Murtha	Stearns
Hinojosa	Myrick	Stenholm
Hobson	Nadler	Stump Stupak
Hoeffel	Napolitano	Sununu
Hoekstra	Neal	Sweeney
Holden Holt	Nethercutt Ney	Tancredo
Hooley	Northup	Tanner
Horn	Norwood	Tauscher
Hostettler	Nussle	Tauzin
Houghton	Oberstar	Taylor (MS)
Hoyer	Obey	Taylor (NC)
Hulshof	Olver	Terry
Hyde	Ortiz	Thomas Thompson (CA)
Inslee	Ose	Thornberry
Istook Jackson (IL)	Owens Oxley	Thune
Jackson-Lee	Packard	Thurman
(TX)	Pallone	Tiahrt
Jefferson	Pastor	Tierney
Jenkins	Payne	Toomey
John	Pease	Towns
Johnson (CT)	Pelosi	Trancant
Johnson, E. B.	Peterson (MN)	Turner Udall (CO)
Johnson, Sam Jones (NC)	Petri Phelps	Udall (NM)
Jones (OH)	Pickering	Upton
Kanjorski	Pitts	Velázquez
Kaptur	Pombo	Visclosky
Kasich	Pomeroy	Vitter
Kelly	Porter	Walden
Kildee	Portman	Walsh
Kilpatrick Kind (WI)	Price (NC) Pryce (OH)	Wamp
Kingston	Quinn	Watkins Watt (NC)
Kleczka	Radanovich	Watts (OK)
Kucinich	Rahall	Weiner
Kuykendall	Ramstad	Weldon (FL)
LaFalce	Regula	Weldon (PA)
LaHood	Reynolds	Weller
Lampson	Riley	Wexler
Lantos Largent	Rivers Rodriguez	Weygand
Larson	Roemer	Whitfield
Latham	Rogan	Wilson
Leach	Rogers	Wilson Wolf
Lee	Rohrabacher	Woolsey
Levin	Ros-Lehtinen	Wu
Lewis (CA)	Rothman	Wynn
Lewis (KY) Linder	Roukema	Young (AK)
Linger LoBiondo	Roybal-Allard Royce	Young (FL)
	100,000	

NAYS-1 Sanford

NOT VOTING-62 Franks (NJ) Ackerman Metcalf $_{\mathrm{Baker}}$ Goodling Mica Ballenger Goss Miller (FL) Hansen Barton Pascrell Berman Hefley Paul Bilirakis Hunter Peterson (PA) Bliley Hutchinson Pickett Blumenauer Isakson Rangel Callahan Kennedy Reyes Campbell King (NY) Shuster Carson Klink Smith (TX) Knollenberg Clav Spence Kolbe Cramei Stark Danner LaTourette Strickland Diaz-Balart Lazio Lewis (GA) Talent. Dicks Thompson (MS) Eshoo Lipinski Vento Everett McCollum Waters McIntosh Forbes Waxman Meek (FL) Fowler Meeks (NY) Wise

□ 1401 So the conference report was agreed

to. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. BILIRAKIS. Mr. Speaker, due to a conflict. I missed rollcall No. 518. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea" on adoption of the conference report for H.R. 3244, the Trafficking Victims Protection Act.

Mr. PETERSON of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 518, I could not be present. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea."

Mr. MICA. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 518, I could not be present. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea."

Mrs. FOWLER. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 518, I could not be present. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea."

Mr. EVERETT. Mr. Speaker, due to sickness in my family and thus the need to return home to my district, I was unable to vote on rollcall vote No. 518. Had I been present, I would have voted "vea" on H.R. 3244. final passage of the Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. KOLBE. Mr. Speaker, today the House debated H.R. 3244, the "Trafficking Victims Protection Act" conference report. I was unavoidably absent for a vote on the rule (H. Res. 613) and the bill. Had I been present, I would have voted "nay" on the rule (rollcall vote No. 517) and "aye" on the conference report (rollcall vote No. 518).

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. DIAZ-BALART. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall votes 514, 515, 516, 517 and 518, I was absent. I was in my district, touring flood damage in the Presidentially-declared federal disaster area, with the Director of the Federal Emergency Management Agency. Had I been present, I would have voted "aye" on each of those votes.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. FROST asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. FROST. Mr. Speaker, I take this time to inquire about next week's schedule

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. FROŠT. I yield to the gentleman from California.

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, I thank my fellow Committee on Rules member, the gentleman from Dallas, for yielding.

I am pleased to announce to our colleagues, Mr. Speaker, that the House has completed its legislative business for the week. The House will next meet for legislative business on Tuesday, October 10, at 12:30 p.m. for morning hour and 2 p.m. for legislative business. The House will consider a number of bills under suspension of the rules, a list of which will be distributed to Members' offices later today.

On Tuesday, the House will also consider H.R. 4205, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001. We are hoping in the Committee on Rules to be able to report the rule on that conference report out before too terribly long. I hope my friend from Texas will remain with us while we attempt to do that.

On Tuesday, I should say there are no votes anticipated until after 6 p.m.

On Wednesday, October 11, and the balance of the week, the House will consider the following measures: H.R. 4461, the Agriculture Appropriations Conference Report; H.R. 4577, the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education Appropriations Conference Report; and H.R. 4942, the District of Columbia Appropriations Conference Report. The House will also consider any other conference reports that may become available throughout the week.

I thank my friend for yielding and hope that when we do report out this conference report rule upstairs that we will be able to send everyone home for the weekend.

Mr. FROST. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Wisconsin, the ranking member of the Committee on Appropriations.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I wonder if I could inquire, can we be assured that next week all of the appropriations conference reports will actually be in the conference reports, or will we again have to go through the charade that we went through today where, if you went to the conference report on the bill passed earlier, you could not find one word of the bill that was being conferenced?

Mr. DREIER. Well, I will assure my friend that we will not continue with any kind of "charade" that he thinks may or may not have taken place. We are going to try to proceed with conference reports and have votes on those next week.

Mr. OBEY. Can the gentleman assure us that every bill that has been conferenced will, in fact, be found in the conference report?

Mr. DREIER. If my friend would continue to yield, I cannot provide assurance that my friend from Wisconsin will be completely happy with the procedure that will be followed.

Mr. OBEY. I did not think so.

Mr. FROST. Mr. Speaker, I have several questions, if I may. First, I listened carefully to what my colleague on the Committee on Rules said. I am not sure I understood exactly one point. Do we expect any appropriation bills on the floor on Tuesday, or are they only going to come up later in the week?

Mr. DREIER. At this juncture, we do not anticipate any appropriation conference reports to be on the floor on Tuesday.

Mr. FROST. If I could ask the gentleman an additional question, when will our business be completed for the week next week? Do we anticipate a weekend session?

Mr. DREIER. Do we anticipate? As my friend knows, the Continuing Resolution expires one week from tomorrow, and we hope very much we will have the work of the 106th Congress completed by that time. So, at this juncture, we hope that we will be completed by next Saturday.

Mr. FROST. Do we anticipate being here on Saturday?

Mr. DREIER. I think it would be great if we could finish it midweek and adjourn sine die, but that probably will not happen. At this juncture, we have until Saturday, when the Continuing Resolution expires; and it is our hope that we will complete our work by that time.

Mr. FROST. Should we not complete our work by next Saturday, by the day on which the CR expires, do we anticipate very short-term CRs after that? Can we tell how long the next one would be, if in fact the next one were necessary?

Mr. DREIER. We will obviously want to work closely with our friends on the other side of the aisle and down Pennsylvania Avenue to bring about some kind of resolution on that question. I think it is too early to raise that question, and we are all hoping that by the expiration of the Continuing Resolution next Saturday, we will be able to adjourn sine die.

$\mbox{H$\mbox{-}1B}$ NON-IMMIGRANT WORKERS FEE INCREASE

Mr. CANNON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on the Judiciary be discharged from further consideration of the bill (H.R 5362) to increase the amount of fees charged to employers who are petitioners for the employment of H-1B non-immigrant workers, and for other

purposes, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Utah?

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I yield to the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CANNON), my distinguished colleague on the Committee on the Judiciary, for an explanation and a discussion of the purpose of the bill that he offers.

Mr. CANNON. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, this bill adds the final piece to the H-1B legislation that we passed earlier this week. There is widespread consensus that the \$500 fee for an H-1B visa application should be increased. The money collected in fees goes toward job training for American workers and scholarships for American students studying math and science. These programs will provide the long-term solution to the shortage of information technology workers plaguing our economy.

H.R. 5362 raises the fee to \$1,000. With the new H-1B quota of 195,000, this increased fee could raise almost \$200 million a year for job training and scholarships.

The bill also exempts primary and secondary schools and universities from having to pay the fee. These institutions are already doing their part to train American students for the jobs of the future.

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, reclaiming my time, I thank the gentleman.

Mr. Speaker, as I understand the amendment, the fee charged to employers for sponsoring an H-1B worker will double from \$500 to \$1,000. I support the increased fee, because we have a critical need to retrain America's workers and educate our children to meet the demands of the new economy and to better administer and enforce the H-1B program.

In fact, in my view, a larger fee increase may have been appropriate, in light of the urgent need for qualified American high-tech workers, particularly in minority and under-represented communities.

The allocation of the new fee makes the training and education of American workers and America's children a priority. Over half the fees will be used by the Labor Department to provide technical skills training for U.S. workers. Over 35 percent of the fees will go to scholarships for low-income persons and the National Science Foundation competitive grants for K-12 math, technology, and science education.

Now, it is common knowledge that the administration of the H-1B program by the Immigration Service and the Labor Department could be far better than it is. We have increased the funds allocated to each agency so that they can better administer and enforce

the programs, as well as reduce the horrendous backlogs in applications currently faced by employers.

We will review the implementation of the H-1B program in the next Congress, and I fully expect to see improvements in how these agencies handle the H-1B program. In other words, they should be held rather strictly accountable.

Mr. Speaker, because the fee increase will begin to address the needs of the American workforce, I support the bill.

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. CONYERS. I yield to the gentleman from California.

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, I thank my friend for yielding.

I would like to extend my appreciation to my colleagues on the Committee on the Judiciary, first, for bringing this up.

This fee increase is one which was struck through an agreement in legislation that my colleague next to whom the gentleman is standing, the gentlewoman from California (Ms. LOFGREN), and I worked, beginning last October.

It is very important for us to recognize that while just 2 days ago we were able to pass legislation which does bring about that increase to 195,000 the number of H-1B visas, it is important for us to realize the long-term solution is to do exactly what my friend from Michigan has said, focus on scholarships for the National Science Foundation, increase math and science education at the K through 12 level, and realize that if we are going to have a workforce that is going to be globally competitive, we must have them trained and educated here in the United States.

Until that time, we have increased the H-1B visa level. We have had a bipartisan agreement to do that. It seems to me that this legislation, which I was very proud to introduce, after we passed the H-1B visa bill, along with the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. MOAKLEY), the distinguished ranking member of the Committee on Rules, is one which we can move immediately.

Again, I would like to compliment my colleague, the gentlewoman from California (Ms. LOFGREN), and the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CANNON) and others who have worked long and hard on trying to move ahead with the package.

On this issue of education and math and science education, I specifically want to mention the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. EHLERS), who has done a great deal of work focusing on the importance of math and science training.

So I hope we can move ahead just as quickly as possible. Again, I congratulate all those who have been involved in this effort.

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, reclaiming my time, I thank the gentleman from California (Chairman DREIER).